

FreeBSD ports

(a personal perspective of a user)

Klaus Aehlig

May 10, 2011

Disclaimer

- ▶ It's a *personal* perspective
and by no means an official position of the FreeBSD project.
 - ▶ All opinions expressed are my own.
- ▶ This is not a tutorial on the ports system.
 - ▶ This is just to give an idea, what it's about.
 - ▶ For full information read the Porter's Handbook.
- ▶ I'm using BSD only since October 2008,
hence my experience is limited.
 - ▶ Probably, I'm not always doing things the best way.
 - ▶ What I tell can be inaccurate—or even wrong.

BUT THERE'S MORE THAN ONE WAY TO DO IT!

The Problem

Every software project that involves more than a hand full of persons will inevitable have. . . (*note the order!*)

- ▶ persons with a difficult personality,
- ▶ bugs in parts important to you,
but not important for most others,
- ▶ design choices that are not to your taste,
- ▶ ...

There are no exceptions to this rule. FreeBSD isn't either.
(*even though it's much better there than a lot other projects*)

So, how to deal with that?

- ▶ Committees, rules, regulations, policies, standards, ... ?
- ▶ Make it easy to deviate where you want *and only there!*

A Rant on Binary Distributions

I (personally) failed using an open-source binary distribution. It was too much “One size fits all”.

- ▶ Fixed policy on file system hierarchy layout, paths, ...
- ▶ Situations are different for various applications...
 - ▶ strip or -g?
 - ▶ Few library dependencies or full features?
 - ▶ Have X-support? Documentation?
 - ▶ ...
- ... but no global knobs like `WITHOUT_X11`, `WITH_DOC`, ...
 - ▶ Changing a little thing meant forking the whole package.
 - ▶ No easy way to adapt after switching a library version.

I don't want the system to tell me, what to do. I want it to adapt to my needs. So let's look at something different...

Ports—The Basic Idea

Essentially, a port is like a recipe. . .

or a formalised report of someone, who managed to install it

... you say what to do (buy ingredients, remove bad parts, ...)

*fetch, checksum, extract, patch, configure,
build, install, clean*

- ▶ All done with standard infrastructure: have a Makefile.
- ▶ Only write down what is specific to that very port!
i.e., where you deviate from the vanilla `./configure && make && make install`; the rest is in a big shared file.
`.include <bsd.port.mk>`
- ▶ That way 23k ports with 17y history fit into a single 1.7GB CVS repository.

But before we go into details, a little remark on `make(1)`...

A detail on `make(1)`

*First of all, the initial list of specifications will be read from the system makefile, `sys.mk`, unless inhibited with the `-r` option. The standard `sys.mk` as shipped with FreeBSD also handles `make.conf(5)`, the default path to which can be altered via the make variable `__MAKE_CONF`.
(man make)*

- ▶ Every call to `make` reads `/etc/make.conf` (outside `'pwd'`!)
... unless in an environment where you want something else.
- ▶ You can make the effect specific to a particular port using

```
.if !empty(.CURDIR:M*/ports/xxx/yyy*)  
...  
.endif
```

KEEP THIS IN MIND!

fetch, checksum, extract

Let's walk through misc/findutils. First: get the sources. Downloading is standard, so we only fill in the parameters.

```
PORTNAME= findutils  
PORTVERSION= 4.5.9  
MASTER_SITES= ${MASTER_SITE_GNU_ALPHA}  
MASTER_SITE_SUBDIR= findutils
```

The files to fetch are DISTFILES, with default expanding to `${PORTNAME}-${PORTVERSION}${EXTRACT_SUFX}`.

For obvious security reasons we store in distinfo

```
SHA256 (findutils-4.5.9.tar.gz) = .....
```

Files are fetched only once and stored in `${DISTDIR}`. Check sums are checked. We unpack everything in `${WRKDIR}`.

See all these variables? Remember we read /etc/make.conf?

Side remark: updating

- ▶ Note that the only thing we store that is particular to a version is the version number and the checksum
- ▶ So, for perfect upstream, updating is just
 - ▶ change the version number (*a single digit*)
 - ▶ make `makesum`
 - ▶ verify integrity of what you fetched
- ▶ In reality, before using (let alone showing anyone), you also want to
 - ▶ see how the build process has changed
 - ▶ verify how the set of installed files has changed
 - ▶ look for user-visible changes (*documented and undocumented*)
 - ▶ check for bugs (*and communicate fixes back upstream*)
 - ▶ ...

patch, configure

- ▶ patches from $\${PATCHDIR}$ are applied
- ▶ configure is run (*this is also a good place to honour options*)

```
GNU_CONFIGURE=yes
CONFIGURE_ENV= CPPFLAGS="-I${LOCALBASE}/include" ...
.if !defined(WITHOUT-NLS)
USE_GETTEXT= yes
PLIST_SUB+= NLS=""
.else
CONFIGURE_ARGS+= --disable-nls
PLIST_SUB+= NLS="@comment "
.endif
CONFIGURE_ARGS+= --program-prefix=g ...
```

Note: the list of files installed changes depending on options

build, install, clean

- ▶ the build utility is called to build; usually also for install *but sometimes need a do-install target*

```
USE_GMAKE= yes
```

```
MAKE_ARGS= INSTALL_SCRIPT="${INSTALL_SCRIPT}"
```

```
MAKE_JOBS_SAFE= yes
```

- ▶ After installation, the software is registered with its file list (*essentially the file pkg-plist, with PLIST_SUB honoured; but also consider INFO, MAN1, ..., PLIST_FILES, ...*)
 - ▶ Hashes of all installed files are computed.
 - ▶ install/remove scripts also in pkg-plist
 - ▶ Also: pkg-descr, COMMENT, ...
 - ▶ actual dependencies are registered
 - ▶ ...

Home-grown ports trees may shortcut here, if stow(1) is used as packaging tool.

- ▶ clean is easy. Just throw away \${WRKDIR}

Dependencies

- ▶ Distinguish between `FETCH_DEPENDS`, `EXTRACT_DEPENDS`, `PATCH_DEPENDS`, `BUILD_DEPENDS`, `RUN_DEPENDS`, `LIB_DEPENDS`.
- ▶ given as a triple
 - ▶ A file that must exist (maybe in $\${PATH}$), a library (maybe with version constraints), ...
Note: dependency can be provided by a different than the intended package
 - ▶ a port directory for the dependency
 - ▶ a target to execute, in order to get the dependency
usually omitted, if the default install applies

And, of course, there is `NO_DEPENDS` for the user to override...

Slave Ports

Remember? It's all about setting variables right...
So with `?=` in the right places, you can be useful for someone else.

The whole(!!) port `print/a2ps-a4` reads as follows.

```
PAPERSIZE= a4  
MASTERDIR= ${.CURDIR}/../a2ps-letter  
  
.include "${MASTERDIR}/Makefile"
```

Again: only describe what's different.

EXTRA_PATCHES

- ▶ That `${PATCHDIR}/patch-*` is applied is only half the truth
... as this would be much to inflexible!
- ▶ There are “distribution patches” (provided by 3rd party).
Don't duplicate code!
Set `PATCH_FILES` and `PATCH_SITES` for that.
- ▶ Some patches are only for certain user options.
Some distribution patches need preprocessing. ...
- ↪ Can set `EXTRA_PATCHES` for that.
- ↪ And then there are the targets `pre-patch`, `post-patch`, ...

- ▶ But there are also creative uses of all these...

EXTRA_PATCHES (mis)used for site-patches

Say, on your machine, you want a different greeting for `gunits(1)`.

- ▶ `cd /usr/ports/math/units && make extract`
- ▶ `copy units.c to units.c.orig and change units.c`
- ▶ `diff -u units.c.orig units.c > /x/y/z.diff`
- ▶ `add to make.conf`

```
.if !empty(.CURDIR:M*/ports/math/units*)  
EXTRA_PATCHES += /x/y/z.diff  
.endif
```

- ▶ Reinstall as usual (`portupgrade -f units`) and...

```
$ gunits  
This program contains a patch by Klaus  
2526 units, 72 prefixes, 56 nonlinear units  
...
```

- ▶ Note: nothing changed under `/usr/ports!`
So, you get updates as usual, with your usual update-routine.

Flexibility...

... sometimes requires a bit of extra work.

```
post-patch:
@${REINPLACE_CMD} -e "s|/usr/local|${PREFIX}|" \
${WRKSRC}/examples/config/config \
${WRKSRC}/bin/uzbl-browser \
${WRKSRC}/bin/uzbl-event-manager
@${REINPLACE_CMD} -e
"s|share/uzbl|${DATADIR_REL}|" \
${WRKSRC}/examples/config/config \
${WRKSRC}/bin/uzbl-browser \
${WRKSRC}/bin/uzbl-event-manager
@${REINPLACE_CMD} -e
"s|/usr/share/uzbl|${DATADIR}|" \
${WRKSRC}/bin/uzbl-tabbed
```

But it's worth the extra effort!

A word to everyone distribution free open-source software

NOTES

This manual page documents the default FreeBSD file system layout, but the actual hierarchy on a given system is defined at the system administrator's discretion.

(man hier)

People do will change things according to their needs.

That's the whole point of open-source!

↪ By relying on a fixed layout/policy/. . . you're working *against* your users, as you make it hard for them to get their job done (*which might be different from your goals*).

Finally...

...it's the ideas that matters, not the concrete implementation!

- ▶ Don't duplicate, only document where you deviate
... and why you had to.

- ▶ Respect the local system administrator.
With one computer per person here, that is: the end user.

~> Honour PREFIX, LOCALBASE, ... TOOLS, NOT POLICIES.

I've got my own little ports tree for my GNU/Linux machines.

- ▶ On our server, we sometimes can't use the distribution.
 - ▶ Packet too far away from upstream.
 - ▶ We need a specific version.
 - ▶ We need patches very specific to our machine.
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ It was also useful, when I had to use a machine, where I disagreed with the administrator ;-)